

International Journal of Advanced Research

in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020



TERNATIONAL

Impact Factor: 7.122

🖂 ijareeie@qmail.com @ www.ijareeie.com 9940 572 462 S 6381 907 438



| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|

|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

Harmonic Minimization for Cascade Multi Level inverter based on Differential Evolutionary Algorithm

R.Gunasekaran¹, Dr.V.S.Arulmurugan², C.Shalini³, B.Sonaa³, G.Saravanan³, S.Soundhar Raj³

Assistant Professor, Department of EEE, Excel College of Engineering and Technology, Komarapalayam,

Tamilnadu, India¹

Professor, Department of EEE, Excel College of Engineering and Technology, Komarapalayam,

Tamilnadu, India²

UG Student, Department of EEE, Excel College of Engineering and Technology, Komarapalayam, Tamilnadu, India³

ABSTRACT: A new topology of cascaded multilevel converter is proposed. The proposed to is predicated on a cascaded connection of single-phase sub multilevel converter units and full bridge converters. Compared to standard multilevel converter, the amount of DC voltage sources, switches, installation area, and converter cost is reduced as number of voltage steps increases. structure of proposed topology is optimized so as to utilize a minimum number of switches and DC voltage sources, produce a high number of output voltage steps. The H-bridge based multilevel inverter increase the amount of output voltage levels by adding switch components and DC input voltage sources. lower number of conducting switches which reduces the switching losses. Comparison study is presented between the proposed inverter and other recently presented to apologies

I. INTRODUCTION

Multilevel inverters are more advanced and latest type of power electronic converters that synthesize a desired output voltage from several levels of dc voltages as inputs. By taking sufficient number of dc sources, anearly sinusoidal voltage waveform are often synthesized.

In comparison with the hard-switched two-level pulsewidth modulation inverters, multilevel inverters offerseveral advantages including their capabilities to workat high voltage with lower voltage stress per switching, high efficiency and low electromagnetic interferences [1], [3] etc. To synthesize multilevel output ac voltage using different levels of dc inputs, semiconductor devices must

be switched on and off in such how that desiredfundamental is obtained with minimumharmonic distortion. There are different approaches for theselection of switching techniques for the multilevelinverters [4]-[9], one among the important techniques isselective harmonic elimination (SHE) method. In SHEtechnique, certain predominating lower order harmonics are eliminated whereas higher order harmonics are filtered using suitable filter. Switching angles are computed by solving the SHE equations, but it's difficult solve SHE equations due to their nonlinearcharacteristics. thanks to nonlinear nature, solution of those equations could also be simple, multiple or maybe no solution for a particular value of modulation index (m). an enormous task ishow to get all possible solutionsonce they exist usingsimple and fewer computationally complex method. Once these solutions are obtained, the solutions having leastTHD are selected for switching purpose. In [4], [5], iterativenumerical techniques likeNewton- Raphsonmethod are implemented tosolve the SHE equations producing just one solution set, and even for this a correct initial guess and starting valueof m that solutions exist are required. Sometechniques as discussed in [6], [7], here SHE equationsare first converted intopolynomial equations, and then the resulting polynomial equations are solved using theory of resultants of polynomials and therefore the theory of symmetrical polynomials, producing all possible solutions. an issue with these approaches is that forhigher levels of multilevel inverter the order ofpolynomials becomes very high, thereby making thecomputations of solutions of those polynomial equationsvery complex. Optimization techniques supported GeneticAlgorithm(GA)and Differential evolutionary Algorithm are discussed in [8], [9] for computingswitching

anglesjustfor7levelmultilevelinverters.Theimplementationofthose approachrequiresproperselectionofcertainparam eters like population size,mutation rate, initial weight etc. It becomes difficult toselect these parameters for higher level multilevelinverters. to bypass above

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|



|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

mentionedproblems, a simple optimization technique supported sequential quadratic programming (SQP) is proposed during this paper tosolve SHE equations which produces all possible solutions. The proposed technique is implemented insuch a way that each one possible solutions for any number of Hbridges connected serial are computed by using any arbitrary initial guess with negligible computational effort. an entire analysis for an 11level inverter using five H-bridges per introduce series is presented, and it's shown that for a variety of m, switching angles are often computed to supply the specified fundamental voltage along with elimination of 5th, 7th, 11th, and 13th order harmonic components. The computational results arevalidated through experiments.

BLOCK DIAGRAM:



II. CASCADE MULTILEVEL INVERTER

Cascade Multilevel Inverter (CMLI) is one among theforemostimportant topology within the family ofmultilevel inverters. It requires least number of components with compare todiode-clamped and flying capacitors type multilevel inverters. it's modular structure with simple switchingstrategy and occupies less space [1] - [3].

The CMLI consists of variety of H-bridge inverterunits with separate dc source for every unit and isconnected in cascade or series as shown in Fig. 1. EachH-bridge can produce three different voltage levels: +Vdc,0, and -Vdc by connecting the dc source to ac output sideby different combinations of 6switches S1, S2, S3,S4, S5 and S6. ac output of every H-bridge is connected serialsuch that the synthesized output voltage waveform is that thesum of all of the individual H-bridge outputs.

cascade and using proper modulation scheme, a nearlysinusoidal output voltage waveform are often synthesized. The number of levels within the output phase voltage is 2s+1, where s is that the number of H-bridges used per phase. Fig. 2shows an 11-level output phase voltage waveform usingfive H-bridges. The magnitude of the ac output phasevoltage is given by

van = va1 + va2 + va3 + va4 + va5 [2].

In general, when s number of H-bridges per phase isconnected in cascade, the Fourier series expansion of thestaircase output voltage waveform is given by (1).

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|

|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

CIRCUIT DIAGRAM:



III.HARMONIC ELIMINATION CONTROL TECHNIQUE USING EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS USING GA & DEA

Harmonic Elimination pulse width modulation (HEPWM)method has been widely applied to remove harmonics due to its superior frequency spectra. It requires the answer of

a set of transcendental nonlinear equations. Softcomputing(SC) methods are extensively employed to unravel thisproblem due to their effective global search ability.Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Differential evolution (DE)

has surpassed most of the SC methods in diverse fields butit has never been utilized to unravel this problem. In thiswork. GA and DE is employed to unravel the HEPWMproblem for eleven level cascaded multilevel voltage source inverter (MVSI). Simulation results have shownthat the discontinuities of the HEPWM angle trajectories are nullified and a wider over-modulation range has beencovered, enhancing the use of DC link voltages and extending the appliance of HEPWM for top powerapplications.

IV.FORMULATING THE MATTER

The step- by- step procedure to unravel the SHE problem with unequal dc sources using GA isas follows.

i) Get the info for the system. At the primary step, therequired parameters of the algorithm likepopulation size, modulation index (M), NominalVoltage, Number of Inverter level, max iterationnumber are determined.
ii) Random population generation.

iii) Fitness function - the fitness evaluation evaluate the population using the fitness function given by equation .

iv) Parent Selection – Best parents of generation areselected supported the rouleete. Wheel selectionfor creating next generation.

v) Crossover – the crossover operator creates the two new child vector by mating the 2 bestparents using arithmetic crossover method.

vi) Mutation - the mutation operator mutates a toddlerby changing any of it's genes.

vii) Survival Selection – the survival selection perator chooses the vectors that are getting to compose the population within the next generation.

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|



|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||



VI. DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION ALGORITHM

The DE algorithm may be a population based algorithm likegenetic algorithms using the similar operators; crossover,mutation and selection. the most difference in

constructing better solutions is that genetic algorithms on crossover while DE relies on mutation operation. This main operation is predicated on the differences of randomly sampled pairs of solutions within population. The algorithmuses mutation operation as an enquiry mechanism and selection operation to direct the search toward the prospective regions within the search space. The Differential Evolutionary algorithm

also uses a non-uniform crossover which will take childvector parameters from one parent more often than it does from others. The recombination (crossover) operator

efficiently shuffles information about successful combinations, enabling the look for a far better solutionspace. An optimization task consisting of D parameters can be represented by a D-dimensional vector. In DE, a

population of NP solution vectors is randomly created at the start. This population is successfully improved by applying mutation, crossover and selection operators.

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|



|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

FLOW CHART DEA



VII. STEPS OF DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION

The main steps of the DE algorithm are given below:

- Initialization
- Mutation
- Crossover
- Selection

Mutation

For each target vector xi, G, i=1,2,3,...,NP, a mutant vector is produced by

$$v_{i,G+1} = x_{r1,G} + F \cdot (x_{r2,G} - x_{r3,G})$$

with random indexes r1, r2, r3 {1,2,...,NP}, integer, mutually different and F > 0. The mutation factor F is a constant from [0,2] which controls the amplification of the differential variation

$$(x_{r2,G} - x_{r3,G}).$$

Crossover

In order to increase the diversity of the parameter vectors, crossover is introduced. To this end, the trial vector: ui,G+1 = (u1i,G+1, u2i,G+1,...,uDi,G+1) is formed, where

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122| || Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

$$\begin{cases} v_{ji,G+1} & \text{if } (rand_j \le CR) \text{ or } j = I_{rand} \\ x_{ji,G} & \text{if } (rand_j > CR) \text{ and } j \neq I_{rand} \end{cases}$$

Selection

To decide whether or not it should become a member of generation G+1, the trial vector ui, G+1 is compared to the target vector xi, G using the greedy criterion. If vector ui, G+1 yields a smaller cost function value than xi, G, then xi, G+1 is set to ui, G+1; otherwise, the old value xi, G is retained.



Output wave form

VIII. CONCLUSION

The selective harmonic elimination method atfundamental frequency switching scheme has beenimplemented using the optimization technique thatproduces all possible solution sets once they exist. Incomparison with other suggested methods, the proposedtechnique has many advantages such as: it can produce allopossible solution sets for any numbers of multilevelinverter without much computational burden, speed of convergence is fast, it can produce continuous solutions for the entire range of modulation index therebygiving more flexibility on top of things action etc. The proposed

technique was successfully implemented for computing the switching angles for 11-level CMLI. an entire analysis for 11-level inverter has been presented and its shown that a big amount of THD reduction can be attained if all possible solution sets are computed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by Luoyang Science and Technology Major Project (No. 2017(04)) and therefore the Major Projects in Henan Province(No.161100210900).

REFERENCES

[1] Qing-nuan CHEN, Fire fighting robot and its application[J]. Fire Science and Technology, 2018, 5:644-646.

[2] Yin-tang NI, Di-yang LV, Zhen-hao WANG. Summary and Prospect of Research Status of fireside Fighting Robots[J]. Automated Application, 2017, 2:28-29.

[3] Hai-chang Dang.Application Status and Prospect Analysis of fireside Fighting Robots in Fire Fighting and Rescue in China[J]. Fire Protection Technology and merchandise Information, 2016, 3:69-71.

[4] Yong TAN. Overview of beholding Technology for Fire Fighting Robot[J]. Journal of Mianyang Normal University, 2018(2).

[5] Ri-huang GUO. Development and Application of fireside Fighting Robots in Japan [J]. Fire Protection Technology and merchandise Information, 2011(9):83-85.

| e-ISSN: 2278 – 8875, p-ISSN: 2320 – 3765| <u>www.ijareeie.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.122|



|| Volume 9, Issue 9, September 2020 ||

[6] Zhi-hou YUE. Preliminary Study on the event of fireside Robot Walking System[J]. 2015(11):21-22.

[7] Xiao-dong XUE. Research ablaze Fighting Robot Technology In Fire Fighting and Rescue[J]. Technological Innovation and Application, 2016(33):297-297.

[8] Wen-liang PEI, Wen-tao LU, Yin-yan GUO, et al. Development and Application of Explosion-proof Fire Special robot[J]. Manufacturing Automation, 2017, 39(7):58-60.

[9] Lian-wei XU, Chong-yu WEI. Design and Implementation of fireside Robot Walking Control System[J]. Computer Measurement and Control, 2017,25(1):66-69.

[10] Qin-hai ZHANG, Ying NI. Design of fireside Reconnaissance Robot Vision System[J]. Television Technology, 2011,35(23):132-134





International Journal of Advanced Research

in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

www.ijareeie.com

🚺 9940 572 462 应 6381 907 438 🖂 ijareeie@gmail.com



Scan to save the contact details