



Comparison of DYMO, AODV, DSR MANET Routing Protocols

Pooja Chowdhary¹, Surabhi Mishra²

PG Student, Dept. of ECE, Modi University of Science & Technology, Lakshmanagarh, Rajasthan, India ¹

PG Student, Bipin Chandra Tripathi Kumaon Engineering College, Dwarahat, Uttarakhand, India ²

ABSTRACT - Routing in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks (MANET) is a challenging task. Routing protocols used in mobile ad hoc networks (MANET) must adapt to frequent or continual changes of topology. This paper presents a comprehensive summarization and a comparative study simulation analysis of existing protocols AODV, DSR & DYMO. This paper present performance comparison of three mobile ad-hoc network routing protocols i.e. Ad-hoc On Demand Distance Vector (AODV), (DYMO), DSR using Qualnet 6.1 version on the basis of performance such as throughput, end to end delay, packet delivery ratio and jitter.

KEYWORDS - MANET, DYMO, DSDV, AODV, DSR

I. INTRODUCTION

A "mobile ad hoc network" (MANET) is an autonomous system of mobile routers (and associated hosts) connected by wireless links. The routers are free to move randomly and organize themselves arbitrarily; thus, the network's wireless topology may change rapidly and unpredictably.

Routing in MANET depends on many factors including topology, selection of routers. Major challenge is that a node at least needs to know the reachability information to its neighbors to determine a packet route, while the network topology changes quite often in a MANET. They are suitable for large networks. The route discovery is done by using route request packets (RREQ) and RREP. They try to utilize network bandwidth by creating routes only when desired by the source node. Hence, route discovery becomes on-demand. Examples of reactive ad hoc network routing protocols include ad hoc on-demand distance vector (AODV), temporally ordered routing algorithm (TORA), dynamic source routing (DSR), Dynamic MANET On-demand (DYMO) routing protocol.

Further as number of network nodes change finding route to destination needs frequent change of routing control information among mobile MHs. Thus the amount of update traffic can be substantial and it is even higher when the nodes with increased mobility are present. As the network topology changes frequently because of node mobility and power limitations, efficient routing protocols are necessary to organize and maintain communication between the nodes.

II. TYPES

Routing protocols for ad hoc networks can be categorized as:

Proactive- These attempts to maintain consistent routing information to every node in the network. These are also referred to as table-driven. Routing information is kept in the routing table and periodically updated as the topology of network changes.

Reactive- Also called as on demand routing protocols as they don't maintain routing information at the network.

(A.) **AODV (Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance-Vector)** — Ad Hoc on-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) routing protocol is a reactive protocol. It has been derived from DSDV. DSDV issues broadcasts to announce every change in overall connectivity of ad hoc network and local movements have global effects. AODV avoid these problems. AODV is able to provide unicast, multicast and broadcast communication ability.

(i) **Route Discovery:** AODV discovers routes as and when necessary & does not maintain routes from every node to every other. Routes are maintained just as long as necessary. When a node wishes to send a packet to some destination, it checks its routing table to determine if it has a current route to the destination

- If yes, forwards the packet to next hop node
- If No, it initiates a route discovery process

Route discovery process begins with the creation of a Route Request (RREQ) packet -> source node creates it. The packet contains – source node's IP address, source node's current sequence number, destination IP address, destination sequence number and broadcast ID. Broadcasting is done via Flooding. When the RREQ is received by a node that is either the destination node or an intermediate node with a fresh enough route to the destination, it replies by unicasting the route reply (RREP) towards the source node. As the RREP is routed back along the reverse path, intermediate nodes along this path set up forward path entries to the destination in its route table and when the RREP reaches the source node, a route from source to the destination established.




Fig.1. Propagation of Route Request (RREQ) packet & Route Reply (RREP) packet.

Source specifies the complete path to the destination in the packet header. All the intermediary nodes simply forwards the packet to the next node as specified in the packet header. This means that intermediate nodes only need to keep track of their neighboring nodes to forward data packets. The DSR protocol is composed of two main mechanisms that work together to allow the discovery and maintenance of source route in the ad hoc network.

(i) **Route Maintenance:** A route established between source and destination pair is maintained as long as needed by the source. When a link break in an active route is detected, the broken link is invalid and a RERR message is sent to other nodes. The affected source node may then choose to either stop sending data or reinitiate route discovery for that destination by sending out a new RREQ message.

(B) **DSR (Dynamic Source Routing)** - Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) is a routing protocol for wireless mesh networks and is based on a technique known as source routing. DSR allows the network to be completely self-organizing and self-configuring, devoid of the need for any pre-existing network infrastructure.

(i) **Route Discovery:** During route discovery operation, the source node floods the network with query packets. Only the destination or a node which already knows the route to destination can reply to it, hence avoiding the further propagation of query packets from it. If a broken link is detected by a node, it sends route error messages to the source node. The source node on receiving error messages will initiate route discovery operations.

(ii) **Route Maintenance:** The source on the other hand, needs to know the complete hop sequence to the destination. This eliminates the need for maintaining latest routing information by the intermediate nodes as in DSDV. In DSR, all nodes in a network cache the latest routing information. When more than one route to the destination is found, the nodes cache all the route information so that in case of a route failure, the source node can look up their cache for other possible routes to the destination. If an alternative route is found, the source node uses that route; else the source node will initiate route discovery operations to determine possible routes to the destination

International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2015

(C.) **DYMO (Dynamic MANET On Demand) Routing Protocol** - DYMO routing protocol has been proposed by Perkins & Chakeres as advancement to the existing AODV protocol. It is also defined to as successor of AODV or ADOVv2 and keeps on updating till date. DYMO operates similar to its predecessor i.e. AODV and does not add any extra modifications to the existing functionality but operation is moreover quite simpler. DYMO is a purely reactive protocol in which routes are computed on demand or when required. It employs sequence numbers to ensure loop freedom. It enables on demand, multi-hop unicast routing among the nodes in a mobile ad hoc network. The basic operations are route discovery and maintenance. Route discovery is performed at source node to a destination for which it does not have a valid path. And route maintenance is performed to avoid the existing obliterated routes from the routing table and also to reduce the packet dropping in case of any route break or node failure.

(i) **Route Discovery:** The DYMO route discovery is very similar to that of AODV except for the path accumulation feature. Figure 2 shows the DYMO route discovery process. If a source has no route entry to a destination, it broadcasts a RREQ message to its immediate neighbors. If a neighbor has an entry to the destination, it replies with an RREP message else it broadcasts the RREQ message.

(ii)

When a node S wishes to communicate with a node T, it initiates a RREQ message. The sequence number maintained by the node is incremented before it is added to the RREQ. We illustrate the route discovery process using Fig. 2as an example. In the figure, node 2 wants to communicate with node 9 and thus, node 2 is S, the source, and node 9 is T, the target destination. In the RREQ message, the node 2 includes its own address and its sequence number, which is incremented before it is added to the RREQ. Finally, a hop count for the originator is added with the value 1. Then information about the target destination 9 is added. The most important part is the address of the target. If the originating node knows a sequence number and hop count for the target, these values are also included. The message is flooded using broadcast, in a controlled manner, throughout the network, i.e., a node only forwards an RREQ if it has not done so before. The sequence number is used to detect this. Each node forwarding an RREQ may append its own address, sequence number, prefix, and gateway information to the RREQ, similar to the originator node.

Upon sending the RREQ, the originating node will await the reception of an RREP message from the target. If no RREP is received within RREQ WAIT TIME, the node may again try to discover a route by issuing another RREQ. In fig-2,the nodes 4 and 6 append information to the RREQ when they propagate the RREQ from node 2. When a node receives an RREQ, it processes the addresses and associated information found in the message. An RREP message is then created as a response to the RREQ, containing information about node 9, i.e., address, sequence number, prefix, and gateway information, and the RREP message is sent back along the reverse path using unicast. Since replies are sent on the reverse path, DYMO does not support asymmetric links. The packet processing done by nodes forwarding the RREP is identical to the processing that nodes forwarding an RREQ perform, i.e., the information found in the RREP can be used to create forward routes to nodes that have added their address block to the RREP




Fig. 2. The DYMO route discovery process



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2015

(iii) **Route Maintenance:** During the routing operations each node has to continuously monitor the status of links and maintain the latest updates within the routing tables. The route maintenance process is actually accomplished with the help of RERR messages. The RERR message must be generated by a node if and when a link to any other node breaks.

The generating node multicasts the RERR message to only those nodes which are concerned with the link failure. Upon reception of a RERR message, the routing table is updated and the entry with the broken link is deleted. If any of the nodes face a packet to the same destination after deletion of the route entry, route discovery process needs to be initiated again.

III. RESULT

This portion describes the simulation results and the performance parameters used for comparison. The results have been simulated using Qualnet Software 6.0 version.

Parameters Used	Value
Nodes Used	200
Terrain Area	1500*1500
Start Time	1 sec
End Time	200 sec
Packet interval	2 sec
Simulation Time	200 sec
Traffic Type	CBR

Table 1.Parameter Values Used

(A.) Unicast Messages Sent:




Fig.3. Network Scenario




Fig.4. Sent Messages

- Total Unicast Messages Sent(AODV)
- Total Unicast Messages Sent(DYMO)
- Total Unicast Messages Sent(DSR)

(B.) Unicast Messages Received - All the three protocols have the same performance in terms of packet delivery. As we have taken the packet interval as 2 sec hence number of packets that have reached the destination equals to 100. (Packets Send /Packet interval)




Fig.5. Received Messages

- Total Unicast Messages Received(AODV)
- Total Unicast Messages Received(DYMO)
- Total Unicast Messages Received(DSR)

(C.) Throughput – It tells the performance of a system in terms of successful packet delivered.

- i. DSR shows the best results among the three.
- ii. AODV and DYMO have almost similar results.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2015




Fig.6. Throughput

- Average Unicast Throughput(AODV)
- Average Unicast Throughput (DYMO)
- Average Unicast Throughput(DSR)

(D.) Jitter - Jitter is the variation in the time between packets arriving, caused by network congestion, timing drift, or route changes.

- i. DSR has the highest jitter among all the three.
- ii. .DYMO shows the best results as the value is highly minimized.




Fig.7. Unicast Jitter

- Average Unicast Jitter (AODV)
- Average Unicast Jitter (DYMO)
- Average Unicast Jitter (DSR)

(E.) End To End Delay - Time that a packet takes from the transmitter to reach the receiver.

- i. AODV and DSR have high end to end delay.
- ii. DYMO shows good results.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2015




Fig.8. End to End Delay

VI. CONCLUSION

.In this paper we have successfully made a comparative analysis of three routing protocols AODV, DYMO, DSR in terms of messages received, throughput, and end to end delay and arrived at a result that DYMO gives a better performance than other two protocols

REFERENCES

- [1] Anuj Kumar Gupta, Jatinder Kaur, Sandeep Kaur, "Comparison of DYMO, AODV, SR and DSDV Manet Routing Protocols over varying traffic". IJREAS Volume 1, Issue 2 (October, 2011) ISSN: 2294-3905.
- [2] Anuj Kumar Gupta, Harsh Sadawarti and Anil K. Verma "Implementation of DYMO Routing Protocol". International Journal of Information Technology, Modelling and Computing (IJITMC) Vol. 1, No. 2, May 2013.
- [3] Priyanka Sarkar, Hrituparna Paul "Performance Comparison of AODV, DSR, DYMO and TORA Routing protocols in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks". International Journal of Recent trends in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 11, June 2014.
- [4] *Wireless and Mobile Networks Concepts and Protocols* by Dr. Sunilkumar S. Manvi and Mahabaleshwar S. Kakkasageri Chap. 8 Wireless Ad-Hoc Networks Pg. 293-334.
- [5] Jogendra Kumar Comparative Performance Analysis of AODV, DSR, DYMO, OLSR and ZRP Routing Protocols in MANET Using Varying Pause Time International Journal of Computer Communications and Networks, Vol. 2, No. 4, Article 4, Publication date: December 2012.
- [6] Manoj Yadav and R.K. Saket, "Performance Evaluation of IARP, IERP, AODV, DSR and DYMO Routing Protocols Based on Different Performance Metrics" International Journal of Electronic and Electrical Engineering. ISSN 0974-2174, Volume 7, Number 1 (2014), pp. 73-78 © International Research Publication House <http://www.irphouse.com>.
- [7] C. Perkins, E. Belding-Royer, and S. Das, "Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing," IETF RFC 3561, July 2003.
- [8] Chakeres and C. Perkins, "Dynamic MANET On-Demand (DYMO) Routing," IETF Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-manet-dymo-17.txt, Mar. 2009. NS-2. DYMO-Implementations. Available from :< <http://www.ianchak.com/dymo/index.php>>.
- [9] *Wireless Sensor Networks* by Feng Zhao and Leodinas Guibas Ch. 3 Pg. 63-70
- [10] *Wireless Sensor Networks* by Kazem Sohraby.